5/28/68

Dear Jim,

I didn't know it when we spoke yesterday, but I now have unassialable proof that Liebeler personally made substantive changes in the testimonies of the young men we discussed yesterday afternoon as I was leaving you, and they are exactly consistent with the memo I wrote after my previous trip. He knew what he was doing and did it. That part of my preparations for interrogations now requires no more work but does not complete the desireable preparation. I would like to suggest that at the right moment we include the father.

All record of How Philip was notified that his testimony was wanted no longer exists. I have the list of witnesses and he does not appear on that. I have the letter that was sent the others.

I think it would be desireable for me to read the John George statements prior to your calling Mrs. Grad so I can tell you if there is anything he gave me back in November that he did not include in these statements. It is possible, for I then interviewed him from well before midnight until about 5 a.m. Also, there is material on this in my Pena interview, which I have read and filled in for Louis.

To date, everything checkable about Godfrey and my new witnesses checks out 100%. A picture of them together may be located. And I have more of his connections I was not able to tell you about. Time only. I'd like to read that part of file not with Tom.

I'll prepare memos as soon as I can.

You'll have those pictures I already have next week. He looked nothing like the mug shot and as a boy entirely other than it. Somewhat like Oswald.

You are making the local radio news with the federal court action. You were right. The fools did as you said, and the press, thinking it adverse to your interest, is playing it. If there is a story in the Washington Post I'll enclose it. Simple but brilliant gesture that for the first time makes clear what the real situation is. Congratulations!

Best regards,